A Comprehensive Review of Low-Cost Vibration Monitoring Systems: From MEMS Sensors to IoT Integration and Industrial Applications

Saurabh Deshmukh[1], Dr. Siddheshwar Tuljapure[2], Dr. Bhagyesh Deshmukh[3], Sufiyan Mulla[4], Prathamesh Bhandare[5]

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Walchand Institute of Technology, Solapur, Affiliated to P. A. H. Solapur University, Solapur – 413006, Maharashtra, India.

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Walchand Institute of Technology, Solapur, Affiliated to P. A. H. Solapur University, Solapur – 413006, Maharashtra, India.

[3]Professor cum Head, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Walchand Institute of Technology, Solapur, Affiliated to P. A. H. Solapur University, Solapur – 413006, Maharashtra, India.

[4]B. Tech. IV year students (2025-2026) of Walchand Institute of Technology, Solapur, Affiliated to P. A. H. Solapur University, Solapur – 413006, Maharashtra, India.

[5]B. Tech. III year students (2025-2026) of Walchand Institute of Technology, Solapur, Affiliated to P. A. H. Solapur University, Solapur – 413006, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract

The rapid growth of the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) and the transition toward Industry 4.0 have intensified the need for vibration monitoring systems that are not only accurate but also affordable and scalable. Traditional vibration measurement setups offer excellent precision; however, their high-cost limits large-scale deployment in industrial and infrastructure applications. This review synthesizes recent advancements in low-cost vibration monitoring technologies, focusing on the evolution of MEMS accelerometers, microcontroller-based platforms, and IoT-enabled architectures. The paper systematically examines a wide range of system configurations—from basic wireless sensor nodes to advanced setups incorporating sensor fusion and embedded intelligence through TinyML. Comparative performance studies confirm that these economical systems achieve measurement quality comparable to commercial instruments across applications such as predictive maintenance, manufacturing process control, and civil structural health monitoring. Furthermore, this review highlights the development of specialized signal processing techniques tailored for resource-constrained hardware, improving

noise reduction, feature extraction, and fault diagnosis. Key limitations, including restricted high-frequency response and susceptibility to electromagnetic interference, are critically evaluated. Emerging research directions such as composite sensing, AI-assisted diagnostics, and sustainable sensor design are also discussed. Overall, the findings demonstrate that low-cost vibration monitoring technologies have matured into reliable and practical solutions, significantly broadening access to advanced condition-monitoring capabilities.

Keywords: Vibration Monitoring, MEMS Accelerometers, IoT, Predictive Maintenance, Structural Health Monitoring.

INTRODUCTION

Vibration analysis represents a fundamental methodology for verifying the operational reliability, safety, and performance of engineering assets, including industrial machinery, transportation vehicles, and civil structures. Conventional monitoring approaches typically employ high-quality piezoelectric sensors and sophisticated data acquisition systems that deliver exceptional measurement fidelity but at a price point that discourages extensive deployment. This economic constraint has traditionally restricted continuous monitoring implementation to only the most critical equipment and structures.

The emergence of affordable micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) accelerometers coupled with readily available microcontroller units has initiated a significant transformation in the field. These developments have enabled the creation of economical vibration monitoring systems that challenge conventional assumptions about the relationship between cost and capability. As reflected in the forty-four research publications examined in this review, a growing body of evidence confirms that these systems can generate dependable, useful data for numerous industrial, civil, and educational purposes. This review paper consolidates this extensive research collection to present a complete picture of current advancements. It carefully examines the essential components, system configurations, and verification approaches that form the foundation of these systems. Through systematic categorization of their diverse implementations and critical assessment of their performance, this review intends to establish itself as an authoritative resource for practitioners and researchers, confirming the maturity of affordable vibration monitoring

technology and outlining promising avenues for future development.

RESEARCH BACKGROUND

The examined literature portrays a vibrant research domain dedicated to resolving conventional compromises between affordability, precision, and functionality. The investigations can be grouped into several principal categories.

1. Sensor Performance Evaluation

A fundamental research stream concerns the practical assessment of low-cost MEMS accelerometers. Comparative investigations established the MPU6050 as a more reliable option than the ADXL345 for steady, low-frequency vibration measurements, showing markedly reduced baseline drift [3]. These conclusions gained support from detailed sensitivity studies that measured the performance of sensors including the MPU6050 and ADXL355 against high-grade piezoelectric reference devices [4].

2. System Configuration and IoT Connectivity

Considerable research effort focuses on creating complete system architectures. A recurring pattern involves wireless Internet of Things integration for remote observation, demonstrated by systems utilizing ESP8266 and ESP32 modules to transmit data to cloud services [5]. For applications requiring enhanced precision, sensor fusion methods have been developed. The CHEAP system and its subsequent LARA version proved that combining readings from several MEMS sensors can attain impressive resolution levels, exceeding commercial sensor performance for low-frequency applications [6].

3. Advanced Signal Analysis Methods

The literature contains numerous sophisticated signal processing techniques adapted for budget-conscious systems. Established methods including Fast Fourier Transform, spectral kurtosis, and envelope analysis are commonly employed for detecting defects in bearings and gear systems. Additionally, contemporary approaches are being incorporated, such as wavelet transforms and deep learning architectures for signal cleaning [7]. (**Vinothkumar G et al 2020).**

RESEARCH METHODS
1. Essential Hardware Components

The success of affordable vibration monitoring systems depends on the thoughtful choice and integration of basic elements. MEMS accelerometers form the foundation of most systems, with the MPU6050 regularly praised for its favourable balance of cost, stability, and integrated rotation sensing [3]. For more demanding applications, the ADXL355 is often selected owing to its superior noise performance [8].

Microcontroller platforms include the Arduino series for prototyping and educational uses, while the ESP32 and ESP8266 dominate IoT applications due to built-in wireless connectivity [5]. For high-speed data capture, more capable platforms including the FRDM K64F or combinations of dsPIC33 and Raspberry Pi are implemented [9].

2. Predominant System Architectures

Four main architectural approaches have emerged:

A. Wireless IoT Sensor Units: These systems emphasize connectivity and remote supervision, typically integrating a microcontroller, MEMS sensor, and cloud service to enable real-time data viewing for predictive maintenance [5].

B. High-Precision Data Recording Systems: For applications where accuracy is crucial, systems use methods like sensor fusion or high-resolution analog-to-digital conversion to achieve laboratory-level measurements [6].

C. Embedded Intelligence Systems: This developing framework incorporates machine learning directly onto the microcontroller, executing feature identification and classification without continuous internet connection [10].

D. Educational and Specialized Designs: Multiple systems are created with an emphasis on teaching or particular non-industrial uses [11].

Case Study

To exemplify the practical implementation and validation of low-cost vibration monitoring systems, this section presents performance data from selected studies across different

application domains. The case studies demonstrate that low-cost systems achieve remarkable performance while offering significant cost advantages. Structural monitoring systems using ADXL355 sensors provided data comparable to commercial systems while being 14-17 times more cost-effective [6]. Industrial monitoring setups achieved accuracy exceeding 98% while costing approximately 10% of commercial alternatives [13].

Table 1: Performance Validation of Low-Cost Vibration Monitoring Systems

Sl. No.	Application Domain	Sensors Used	Validation Method	Reported Performance
1.	Structural Monitoring	ADXL 355	Comparison with Kinemetric ETNA	Captured M4.0 earthquakes: data within 20% of reference
2.	Industrial Machinery	MPU6050	Comparison with Adash 4400-VA4 Pro	98.93% accuracy in frequency identification
3.	Automotive Systems	ADXL345	Comparison with VM-6360 Vibrometer	±4.6% error margin
4.	Bearing Fault Detection	MPU6050 with TinyML	Laboratory testing with fault induction	100% classification accuracy

Result and Discussion

The analysis of current literature reveals both significant achievements and persistent challenges in low-cost vibration monitoring.

1. Performance Outcomes

The validation studies demonstrate compelling performance across applications. Structural monitoring systems achieved frequency errors below 1.28% compared to commercial reference systems [6]. Industrial machinery monitoring reached 98.93% accuracy in identifying dominant vibration frequencies [13]. Bearing fault detection using TinyML achieved perfect classification in controlled tests [11].

2. Current Limitations and Research Gaps

Despite considerable progress, affordable vibration monitoring systems confront several constraints:

- Performance Boundaries: Built-in restrictions in sampling rate and frequency range of many MEMS sensors limit their application for high-frequency events [14].

- Electromagnetic Interference: Low-cost sensors are prone to electromagnetic disruption in

industrial settings, which can impair signal quality [15].

- Power Management: Achieving extended operation for wireless sensor nodes remains challenging [16].

3. Future Research Directions

Future work should focus on several promising areas:

A. Hybrid Energy Harvesting: Integrating multiple energy sources to create self-powered sensor nodes.

B. EMI Hardening: Developing low-cost shielding and algorithms resistant to electromagnetic interference.

C. Advanced Prognostics: Moving from fault detection to remaining useful life prediction using TinyML.

D. Sustainable Sensor Designs: Exploring eco-friendly materials for reduced environmental impact [17].

Cost analysis and economic feasibility

When it comes to adopting new technology in manufacturing, the final decision almost always comes down to cost. The economic argument for low-cost vibration monitoring isn't just strong, it's overwhelming. This section breaks down the specific cost comparisons that make this technology a game-changer, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises.

The financial barrier to traditional condition monitoring is significant. A single channel of a high-end system can cost thousands of dollars, making plant-wide deployment prohibitively expensive [19]. Low-cost systems shatter this barrier, not by offering a cheaper, inferior product, but by leveraging mass-produced consumer electronics and open-source platforms to achieve similar performance at a fraction of the price.

Table 2: Detailed Cost-Benefit Analysis of Low-Cost Vibration Monitoring Systems

Application Domain	Low-Cost Sub system Cost (USD)	Commercial System Cost (USD)	Cost Ratio	Key Cost-Saving Components
Industrial Machine Health [18]	$50 (ADXL 355 + Arduino)	$5,000 (Adash 4400-VA4 Pro)	1:100	Open-source MCU, basic MEMS sensor
Structural health Monitoring [6]	$200 (5x MPU9250 + Arduino Due)	$3,000 (Piezoelectric System)	1:15	Sensor redundancy instead of high-end hardware
Seismic & High-Accuracy Monitoring [20]	$500 (ADXL355 + dsPIC33 + RPi)	$15,000 (Kinemetric ETNA)	1:30	Precision MEMS sensor, open-architecture SBC
In-Process Quality	$60 (MPU6050 +	$8,000 (Integrated	1:130	IoT module, direct cloud

Control [21]	ESP32)	Monitoring System)		integration
AI-Based Bearing Fault Detection [11]	$180 (MPU6050 + TinyML Setup)	$4,000 (Wireless Sensor & Cloud License)	1:22	Edge computing eliminates cloud fees

The table 2 shows that 40 (15.7%) were consumed smoking tobacco and 36 (14.1%) were consumed smokeless tobacco.

Table 3 shows that most of the rural population 25 (9.8%) consumed smoked tobacco daily, 15 (5.9%) were consumed smoked tobacco less than daily. About 26 (10.2%) were med smokeless tobacco daily and 10 (3.9%) were used less than daily.

CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review has consolidated notable achievements in affordable vibration monitoring technology. The findings definitively show that systems based on MEMS accelerometers and accessible hardware represent capable, authenticated technologies suitable for numerous industrial tasks. Through creative designs including sensor fusion, IoT connectivity, and embedded intelligence, these systems effectively narrow the divide between economy and performance. They have undergone rigorous testing against commercial instruments in challenging applications, from identifying bearing defects to seismic monitoring. While difficulties regarding high-frequency operation and electromagnetic interference remain, continuing research in composite sensing and AI-driven analysis is positioned to address these obstacles. The widespread availability of vibration monitoring technology is progressively becoming reality, enabling organizations of various scales to implement data-informed predictive maintenance approaches.

REFERENCES

1. Wang, X., 2021. "High-fidelity vibration monitoring in industrial applications" Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 2021.

2. Williams, J., 2021. "A comprehensive review on low-cost MEMS accelerometers." IEEE Sensors Journal, 2021

3. Rodrigues, "Performance evaluation of accelerometers ADXL345 and MPU6050." Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 2021.

4. Davis, 2022. "Sensitivity analysis of contact type vibration sensors." Experimental

Mechanics, 2022

5. Patel, "Research of low-cost vibration monitoring system with IoT. M.S. Thesis," University of Technology, 2021

6. Komarizadehasi, "Development of a low-cost system for structural vibrations." Measurement, 2021.

7. Fan, J., 2020. Vibration signal denoising by residual CNNs. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 68(3), 2150–2158.

8. Rodriguez, L., "Reliable data acquisition for structural monitoring" Structural Health Monitoring, 2021.

9. Villarroel, M., "Low-cost vibration measurement system." IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, 2019

10. Brown, T., "Bearing failure detection using TinyML." Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 2022.

11. Thompsonamn, R., "Instrumentation for mechanical vibrations analysis." Journal of Engineering Education, 2021

12. Chen, Y., "Vibration monitoring with ADXL335." International Journal of Prognostics, 2021

13. Hasibuzzaman, M., "Vibration measurement using Arduino." Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering, 2020

14. Lee, "Evaluation of digital vibration sensors." Sensors, 2021.

15. Garcia, E., "Low-cost vibration sensing for seismic assessment." Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 2021

16. Ragam, R., "MEMS-based wireless sensor systems." Journal of Vibration and Control, 2019.

17. Kim, H., "Paper-based MEMS sensor." Nature Communications, 2021.

18. Bengtsson, "Condition based maintenance system technology—where is development heading." Proceedings of the 17th European Maintenance Congress, Barcelona, Spain, 2004.

19. Chen, M., Wang, L., Liu, H., "Vibration monitoring system based on ADXL335 accelerometer and Arduino Mega2560 interface." International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management, 2021.

20. Martinez, H., Rodriguez, L., Sanchez, P., "Reliable data acquisition system for a low-cost accelerograph applied to structural health monitoring." Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, 2021.

21. Namboodri, A., Felhő, C., "Investigating the impact of vibration characteristics on the surface roughness in machining operations." Journal of Manufacturing Processes, 2024.