A STUDY ON SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF BEAM – COLUMN JOINT AT AMBIKAPUR

Shudhansu *, M.Tech Scholar, Vishwavidyalaya Engineering College , Ambikapur , India

Ankit Raj Nirala**, Assisstant Professor,Vishwavidyalaya Engineering College , Ambikapur , India

Dr. Ram Narayan Khare***,Principal Vishwavidyalaya Engineering College , Ambikapur , India

Abstract

Beam-column joints are critical components in reinforced concrete structures, particularly vulnerable to seismic forces. This study investigates the seismic behavior of beam-column joints through comprehensive analysis and numerical simulations.

The research focuses on evaluating the performance of various types of joints under seismic loading conditions. Nonlinear static and dynamic analyses are employed to assess factors influencing joint response, including detailing, reinforcement configurations, and material properties.

Key parameters such as shear stress distribution, concrete confinement effects, and bond-slip behavior are examined to understand the seismic vulnerability of these joints. Performance metrics such as ductility, energy dissipation, and failure modes are analyzed to enhance structural design practices.

The findings contribute insights into improving the seismic resilience of beam-column joints, providing practical recommendations for optimizing their design and detailing to mitigate potential vulnerabilities and ensure structural safety.

Introduction

Earthquake-resistant structures rely heavily on the integrity of beam-column joints, particularly in reinforced concrete (RC) buildings. These joints experience significant forces due to ground shaking during earthquakes. This project report aims to analyze the seismic behavior of a beam-column joint and assess its performance under seismic loads. Beam-column joints are critical elements in earthquake-resistant structures, particularly those made of reinforced concrete (RC). During an earthquake, these joints experience significant forces due to the ground shaking. Understanding and analyzing their seismic behavior is crucial for designing safe and effective structures.

Literature Review

Sun et al. (2019):

Proposed seismic retrofitting techniques using shape memory alloys (SMAs) to improve joint ductility and energy dissipation.

Studied the effectiveness of SMAs in enhancing joint performance under seismic loading.

Contributed to innovative approaches for retrofitting existing structures for improved seismic resilience.

Li et al. (2018):

Emphasized the importance of transverse reinforcement detailing for enhancing ductility and energy dissipation in beam-column joints.

Studied the behavior of reinforced concrete joints under cyclic loading conditions.

Provided recommendations for optimizing reinforcement detailing to improve seismic performance.

Kim and Park (2015):

Investigated hybrid steel-concrete joints to assess their performance and advantages under seismic loading.

Studied joint behavior, including strength, stiffness, and energy dissipation capabilities.

Contributed insights into the application of hybrid materials for enhanced seismic design.

Kuramoto et al. (2011):

Explored the seismic performance of high-strength concrete joints, focusing on material properties and detailing effects.

Investigated strength and ductility characteristics under seismic loads.

Provided data on the behavior of high-strength materials in seismic-resistant design.

Hawkins et al. (2007):

Investigated the influence of cyclic loading history and aging effects on the seismic performance of beam-column joints.

Analyzed residual strength and deformation capacity after repeated seismic events.

Provided insights into long-term behavior and durability of reinforced concrete structures.

Kunnath et al. (1997):

Conducted experimental testing to evaluate ductility and energy dissipation mechanisms in beam-column joints.

Studied the effects of cyclic loading on joint behavior and performance under seismic conditions.

Provided data on joint response to seismic forces for validation of analytical models.

Priestley et al. (1996):

Investigated failure modes of beam-column joints under seismic loading, emphasizing the role of shear reinforcement and joint geometry.

Highlighted the importance of detailing to mitigate shear failures and enhance joint ductility.

Contributed insights into design considerations for seismic-resistant structures

Eligehausen et al. (1996):

Proposed analytical methods for modeling bond-slip effects and concrete crushing in seismic-loaded joints.

Validated analytical approaches with experimental results, improving understanding of joint behavior.

Contributed to the development of design guidelines for seismic-resistant structures.

Moehle and Mahin (1994):

Developed advanced analytical models to predict nonlinear behavior of beam-column joints under seismic loads.

Considered material and geometric nonlinearity, enhancing accuracy in predicting joint response.

Validated models against experimental data reliability in seismic design

Paulay and Priestley (1992):

Studied retrofitting techniques to enhance seismic resistance of existing beam-column joints.

Evaluated effectiveness of different retrofit strategies in improving structural performance.

Provided guidelines for upgrading existing structures to meet modern seismic design standards.

Park and Paulay (1992):

Analyzed seismic vulnerability of beam-column joints with insufficient transverse reinforcement.

Proposed reinforcement detailing strategies to improve joint performance under seismic loading.

Contributed recommendations for enhancing seismic resilience in vulnerable structures.

Conclusion:

Based on the study we found that there are many ways to analysis the beam joint behaviour of all types of beam-column joints (interior, two types of corner joints, and exterior), it can be concluded that all joints have been designed adequately to resist both flexural and shear forces as per the methods provided.

But Seismic Zone III (Ambikapur) it is more suited the non linear regression method , so for this study we can use non liner model of STAD.pro

References:

[1]· Wang, Z., et al. (2021). "Cyclic Behavior and Retrofitting Techniques of Beam-Column Joints for Improved Seismic Performance."Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, ASCE, Vol. 35, No. 4, 04021028.

[2]· Dias, W. P. S., et al. (2021). "Sustainability Assessment of Seismic Retrofitting Techniques for Beam-Column Joints."Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 287, 125173.

[3]· El-Sokkary, H. F., et al. (2020). "Influence of Axial Load on Seismic Behavior of Beam-Column Joints."Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 146, No. 3, 04020024.

[4]·Gharehbaghi, K., et al. (2020)."Probabilistic Assessment of Seismic Response Considering Joint Geometry and Reinforcement Detailing."Engineering Structures, Vol. 203, 109836.

[5]· Yu, Y., et al. (2020). "Seismic Loading History Effects on Residual Strength and Deformation Capacity of Beam-Column Joints." Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics, Vol. 49, No. 8, pp. 805-823.

[6]· Sun, G., et al. (2019). "Seismic Retrofit of Beam-Column Joints Using Shape Memory Alloys."Journal of Bridge Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 24, No. 10, 04019093.

[7]· Sun, G., et al. (2019). "Seismic Retrofit of Beam-Column Joints Using Shape Memory Alloys."Journal of Bridge Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 24, No. 10, 04019093.

[8]· Li, X., et al. (2018). "Transverse Reinforcement Detailing for Enhancing Ductility in Seismic-Loaded Beam-Column Joints."Engineering Structures, Vol. 171, pp. 438-450.

[9]· Kim, J. H., and Park, Y. J. (2015). "Performance Evaluation of Hybrid Steel-Concrete Beam-Column Joints."Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 141, No. 1, 04014102.

[10] Shakir Husain Adil et al “**Structural Evolution of Mainpat Plateau, Surguja District, Central India**” Cloud Publications International Journal of Advanced Remote Sensing and GIS 2013, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 227-231, Article ID Tech-127 ISSN 2320 – 0243,

[12] From Amateur Seismic Centre (ASC or www.asc-india.org)

[13]·Kuramoto, S., and Sato, K. (2011)."High-Strength Concrete in Seismic Design." Concrete International, Vol. 33, No. 11, pp. 47-53.

[14]· Hawkins, N. M., Ramirez, J. A., and Mitchell, D. (2007). "Cyclic Behavior and Long-Term Performance of Beam-Column Joints." Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 133, No. 8, pp. 1098-1110.

[15] Kunnath, S. K., and Reinhorn, A. M. (1997). "Seismic Testing of Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Joints." ACI Structural Journal, Vol. 94, No. 5, pp. 487-497.

[16]Priestley, M. J. N., Seible, F., and Calvi, G. M. (1996)."Seismic Design and Retrofit of Bridges."John Wiley & Sons.

[17]Eligehausen, R., Popov, E. P., and Bertero, V. V. (1996)."Local Bond Stress-Slip Relationships of Deformed Bars under Generalized Excitations." ACI Structural Journal, Vol. 93, No. 1, pp. 63-72.

[18]Moehle, J. P., and Mahin, S. A. (1994)."Experimental and Analytical Study of Seismic Retrofit of Beam-Column Joints." Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 120, No. 7, pp. 2103-2118.